Forward-Looking Information

Fortis includes forward-looking information in this presentation within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities laws and forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (collectively referred to as "forward-looking information"). Forward-looking information included in this presentation reflect expectations of Fortis management regarding future growth, results of operations, performance and business prospects and opportunities. Wherever possible, words such as anticipates, believes, budgets, could, estimates, expects, forecasts, intends, may, might, plans, projects, schedule, should, target, will, would and the negative of these terms and other similar terminology or expressions have been used to identify the forward-looking information, which includes, without limitation: forecast consolidated and segmented capital expenditures for 2019 and the five-year period from 2019 through 2023 and potential funding sources for the capital plan; targeted average annual dividend growth through 2023; the nature, timing, benefits and costs of certain capital projects including, without limitation, the Wataynikaneyap Transmission Power Project, ITC Multi-Value Regional Transmission Projects and 34.5 to 69 kV Transmission Conversion Project, UNS Energy Gila River Natural Gas Generating Station Unit 2, Southline Transmission Project and Oso Grande Wind Project, FortisBC Lower Intermediate Pressure System Upgrade; the expected timing and outcome of regulatory decisions; and renewable targets at Tucson Electric Power

Forward-looking information involves significant risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Certain material factors or assumptions have been applied in drawing the conclusions contained in the forward-looking information. These factors or assumptions are subject to inherent risks and uncertainties surrounding future expectations generally, including those identified from time to time in the forward-looking information. Such risk factors or assumptions include, but are not limited to: reasonable regulatory decisions by utility regulators and the expectation of regulatory stability; the implementation of the Corporation's five-year capital expenditure plan; no material capital project and financing cost overruns related to any of the Corporation's capital projects; sufficient human resources to deliver service and execute the capital expenditure plan; the realization of additional opportunities; the impact of fluctuations in foreign exchange; and the Board exercising its discretion to declare dividends, taking into account the business performance and financial condition of the Corporation. Fortis cautions readers that a number of factors could cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from the results discussed or implied in the forward-looking information. These factors should be considered carefully, and undue reliance should not be placed on the forward-looking information. For additional information with respect to certain of these risks or factors, reference should be made to the continuous disclosure materials filed from time to time by the Corporation with Canadian securities regulatory authorities and the Securities and Exchange Commission. All forward-looking information herein is given as of the date of this presentation. Fortis disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Unless otherwise specified, all financial information referenced is in Canadian dollars and references to rate base refer to mid-year rate base.

THOMSON REUTERS STREETEVENTS **EDITED TRANSCRIPT** FTS.TO - Q2 2019 Fortis Inc Earnings Call

EVENT DATE/TIME: AUGUST 02, 2019 / 12:30PM GMT

THOMSON REUTERS STREETEVENTS | www.streetevents.com | Contact Us

©2019 Thomson Reuters. All rights reserved. Republication or redistribution of Thomson Reuters content, including by framing or similar means, is prohibited without the prior written consent of Thomson Reuters. 'Thomson Reuters' and the Thomson Reuters logo are registered trademarks of Thomson Reuters and its affiliated companies.



CORPORATE PARTICIPANTS

Barry V. Perry Fortis Inc. - President, CEO David Gerard Hutchens UNS Energy Corporation - CEO, President Jocelyn H. Perry Fortis Inc. - Executive VP & CFO Linda H. Blair Apsey ITC Holdings Corp. - President, CEO Stephanie A. Amaimo Fortis Inc. - VP of IR

CONFERENCE CALL PARTICIPANTS

Benjamin Pham BMO Capital Markets Equity Research - Analyst
Christopher James Turnure JP Morgan Chase & Co, Research Division - Analyst
Julien Dumoulin-Smith Bank of America
Mark Thomas Jarvi CIBC Capital Markets, Research Division - Director of Institutional Equity Research
Patrick Kenny National Bank Financial, Inc., Research Division - Research Analyst
Robert Hope Scotiabank Global Banking and Markets, Research Division - Analyst
Robert Kwan RBC Capital Markets

PRESENTATION

Operator

Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for standing by. My name is Sharon, and I'll be your conference operator today. Welcome to the Fortis Q2 2019 Conference Call and Webcast. (Operator Instructions)

At this time, I would like to turn the conference over to Stephanie Amaimo. Please go ahead, Ms. Amaimo.

Stephanie A. Amaimo - Fortis Inc. - VP of IR

Thanks, Sharon, and good morning everyone, and welcome to Fortis' second quarter results conference call. I am joined by Barry Perry, President and CEO; and Jocelyn Perry, Executive VP and CFO; other members of the senior management team as well as CEOs from certain subsidiaries.

Before we begin today's call, I want to remind you that the discussion will include forward-looking information, which is subject to the cautionary statement contained in the supporting slide show.

All non-GAAP financial measures referenced in our prepared remarks are reconciled to the related U.S. GAAP financial measures in our 2019 second quarter MD&A. Also, unless otherwise specified, all financial information referenced is in Canadian dollars.

With that, I will turn the call over to Barry.

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

Thanks Stephanie, and good morning everyone. Let me start by saying that our team made great progress in the quarter to advance our growth strategy. Most notably, we announced that we are increasing our 2019 capital outlook by \$600 million to \$4.3 billion representing a 16% increase.



As part of funding our incremental capital, we raised \$142 million from the at-the-market equity program or ATM to fund the equity component of our increased capital expenditures.

Looking beyond 2019, we remain committed to the \$17.3 billion 5-year capital plan. We are currently updating the plan to reflect the 2020 to 2024 5-year period and expect to update the market on September 10 at our Investor Day.

In mid April, we successfully closed the sale of the Waneta expansion for proceeds of approximately \$1 billion and booked a \$484 million gain related to this transaction. Net proceeds were used to pay down corporate short-term borrowings, as well as repurchase a portion of the corporation's outstanding debt. We are pleased with this outcome.

In July, we issued our 2019 Sustainability Update, the report updated the performance of our utilities and expanded our reporting on indicators related to employees, natural gas operations and water use. Additionally, we included information on our efforts to advance the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals.

Our focus on energy delivery limits our impact on the environment. That said we strive to do better by delivering cleaner energy and being a strong energy partner in our communities.

With our focus on the delivery of cleaner energy, TEP announced their partnering with key community stakeholders and climate change experts at the University of Arizona to develop new carbon emission reduction goals to prepare for the future energy needs of the customers. We are focused on reducing our environmental footprint and look forward to working with these stakeholders to create measures focused on emission reduction and increase renewable energy to customers.

In British Columbia, we recently announced that FortisBC entered into a 2 year supply agreement to export 53,000 tons of LNG per year to China. Equivalent to heating 30,000 homes per year in BC. This is the first Canadian agreement to have LNG regularly shipped to China. The LNG supply is contracted through the recently expanded Tilbury facility. This development is an encouraging step for Canada's LNG export industry and for FortisBC as we think about further LNG expansion at the Tilbury site.

Turning now to Slide 5. I'll walk through the drivers of the 2019 \$600 million capital increase. This increase is evidence of our focused effort to grow the regulated businesses by identifying and executing on opportunities within our existing footprint.

Capital spending for 2019 is expected to increase to \$4.3 billion up from \$3.7 billion previously announced. This increase is driven by regulated investments in renewable energy, transmission infrastructure and grid modernization. UNS is the main driver of the increase and is forecasting to spend \$1.4 billion in capital investments this year, up \$300 million from the \$1.1 billion we previously disclosed.

In March, TEP announced the construction of the USD 370 million Oso Grande wind project. Construction of the 247 megawatt wind farm is expected to commence later this year and be online by the end of next year. We previously included this project in the plan as a 150 megawatt project and the increased capacity resulted in more than \$200 million of additional capital spending in 2019. Once completed it will become TEP's largest renewable energy resource and generate enough power to supply nearly 100,000 homes per year. This project is expected to increase TEP's renewable energy to approximately 28% of its total production by 2021.

ITC is focused on making needed grid investments in and around its existing operating territories. As a result ITC identified additional grid solutions as well as several small transmission asset acquisitions within its existing footprint. These investments result in approximately \$100 million increase in capital spending for 2019.

The remaining incremental capital spend is a result of investment needed to maintain and upgrade our existing infrastructure at our other regulated utilities and higher foreign exchange.



Our major capital projects for 2019 are progressing well and are on track. ITC recently announced completion of Multi-Value Projects 4 and 7. These new high voltage transmission lines are part of 17 Multi-Value Projects approved within the Mid West to provide access to low cost electricity generation, improve reliability and efficiency and expand access to renewable energy resources.

At UNS the majority of the capital will be executed in the second half of the year. In addition to the Oso Grande Wind Project significant project spending for the balance of the year includes the expected purchase of the Gila River generating station unit 2. In addition, UNS expects to begin investing in the 250 megawatt Southline Transmission Project, a transmission line across Southern New Mexico and Southern Arizona.

A significant milestone was recently achieved in the Wataynikaneyap Power Project when the environmental assessment notice of approval was received for the final 2 phases of the project. The project is targeted to be completed in 2023 and will reduce greenhouse gas emissions associated with the diesel generations currently used by the remote communities.

We are confident in our ability to deliver on our \$4.3 billion capital plan for 2019. Virtually all of our planned capital investments for the year are in our regulated businesses. As mentioned earlier, we expect to update our new 5-year capital plan on September 10 at our Investor Day.

For 45 consecutive years, we have increased our dividend. This consistent track record makes us a leader in dividend growth in Canada. Our strong growth profile coupled with our low-risk highly regulated and geographically diversified energy delivery utility business gives us confidence that we will be able to continue this track record for the foreseeable future.

And I'll turn the call over to Jocelyn, for an update on our second quarter 2019 results.

Jocelyn H. Perry - Fortis Inc. - Executive VP & CFO

Thank you, Barry, and good morning, everyone. Reported earnings for the quarter of \$720 million or \$1.66 per common share, is significantly higher than last year. Earnings for the quarter reflect the \$484 million gain on the sale of our 51% interest in the Waneta expansion.

On a year-to-date basis, reported earnings of approximately \$1 billion or \$2.39 per common share also reflect the gain on the Waneta sale when compared to the previous year.

Adjusted EPS of \$0.54 for the quarter was \$0.05 lower compared to the second quarter in 2018. This decrease was primarily due to weather. Cooler temperatures at UNS and decreased production at the Belize hydro generating facilities, driven by lower rainfall, reduced EPS by \$0.06 in the quarter.

On a year-to-date basis, adjusted EPS was \$0.02 lower than the first 6 months of 2018. Rate base growth; driven by our regulated businesses, as they continue to execute on their capital plans; was offset by unfavorable weather impacts, reduced earnings at Aitken Creek and regulatory lag in Arizona. Weather alone impacted EPS by \$0.06 in the first half of 2019, when compared to 2018.

Before getting into the specific drivers of the quarterly and year-to-date earnings results, I want to spend some time this morning on the weather impacts during the quarter. Earnings for the second quarter in our Arizona business was down \$21 million from the prior year.

The decrease was driven by an approximate 10% reduction in retail sales due to cooler temperatures, which reduced air conditioning load in the region.

In recent years, Tucson has recorded some of its hottest temperatures, with the second quarter of 2018 being the second hottest quarter on record. In comparison, this year, the region experienced its mildest second quarter in the last 20 years. The month of May was particularly cooler in the Tucson region. This resulted in 32% lower cooling degree days than normal during the quarter.

Earnings for the quarter and year-to-date were also impacted by the weather in Belize, as they are experiencing drought conditions. With the lower rainfall, production in the second quarter was 15 gigawatt hours compared to 57 gigawatt hours in the previous year.



For the first 6 months of 2019, production was 39 gigawatt hours compared to 120 gigawatt hours in 2018. So again in total, weather-driven impacts on earnings per common share was \$0.06 for the second quarter.

And now turning to Slide 11. Let's take a look at the other EPS drivers in the quarter. First and foremost, we saw growth at the majority of our regulated utility businesses. This growth was led by ITC, which contributed to an increase in EPS of \$0.03 and \$0.01 was driven by our Western Canadian electric and gas businesses.

Next, a higher U.S. dollar to Canadian dollar foreign exchange rate favorably impacted results this quarter. The average rate was \$1.34 compared to \$1.29 in the second quarter last year.

Furthermore, there was a \$0.01 EPS increase during the quarter due to lower corporate costs, partially offset by a higher number of weighted average common shares as a result of the shares issued under our dividend reinvestment plan and the ATM.

The earnings loss from no longer having the Waneta plant was offset by reduced corporate finance charges and a gain on the USD \$400 million tender offer.

As previously mentioned, lower earnings at UNS, mainly driven by cooler temperatures, reduced EPS by \$0.06. At our non-regulated energy infrastructure businesses, EPS decreased by \$0.03 for the quarter. This was driven by lower realized margins at Aitken Creek and lower rainfall, decreasing production in Belize.

And lastly, earnings at Central Hudson and in our other electric segment, reduced EPS by \$0.02, reflecting timing of insurance proceeds received in 2018 related to the Hurricane Irma and timing differences associated with Central Hudson's rate order.

Turning to Slide 12, adjusted year-to-date earnings per share decreased \$0.02 compared to the same period in 2018. Similar to the quarter, a higher U.S. dollar to Canadian dollar foreign exchange rate for the first half of 2019 resulted in a \$0.04 EPS increase.

Additionally, rate based growth at ITC improved EPS by \$0.03, and our Western Canadian utilities improved EPS by \$0.02 for the first half of 2019. At Central Hudson, EPS increased \$0.01, driven by higher delivery rates and lower storm restoration costs.

UNS contributed to a \$0.05 decrease in EPS for the first half of 2019. Again, this was largely driven by cooler temperatures in Arizona, as well as higher costs associated with rate based growth that are not yet included in rates due to the historical test year.

The non Energy infrastructure businesses was also negatively impacted by weather as a result of lower rainfall in Belize, and lower realized margins at Aitken Creek also impacted EPS year-to-date.

And lastly, EPS was lower by \$0.01, reflecting a higher number of weighted average common shares, partially offset by lower corporate costs.

Turning now to our 2019, regulatory outlook. At ITC, we await a final decision from FERC on the MISO based ROE. You will recall that FERC also issued 2 notices of inquiry in March. The first sought comment on its policies for determining the ROE used in setting rates. And the second on how to improve its transmission incentive policy to ensure it appropriately encourages the development of needed infrastructure to the benefit of our customers.

Comments were due to FERC at the end of June. And upon review, ITC viewed the submissions as generally in line with expectations. Reply comments on the base ROE were provided to FERC last week and reply comments on the incentive NOI will be provided later this month.

And at this time, it is still uncertain whether and when FERC (technical difficulty) on these matters.

As you are aware, FERC-ordered last October that ITC was no longer fully independent and reduced the incentive adder to 25 basis points, down from the approximate 50 basis points that ITC was earning in rates.



ITC appealed this decision. But in July, FERC denied ITC's request for rehearing. ITC is now considering its options, including potentially appealing to the U.S. Court of Appeals.

As discussed during last quarter, FortisBC filed its multi-year rate plan earlier this year, as the current term expires at the end of 2019. The proposed plan seeks approval for rate-setting framework for 2020 through to 2024. And we anticipate a decision next year.

Tucson Electric Power filed its rate case April 1. Current rates at TEP are based on a mid-2015 test year and our requested rates include approximately USD 700 million of additional rate based investments.

Additional request in the rate filing include an ROE increase of 60 basis points to 10.35%, and increased equity thickness to 53%. The rate case hearings are scheduled for early 2020, and a decision is anticipated next year.

Lastly, TEP also filed a proposal with FERC in May, requesting its current stated transmission rates and revenue requirement be replaced with forward-looking formula rates to allow for more timely recovery of transmission related costs.

Just this week, FERC issued an order, accepting TEP's proposed rate revisions effective August 1, subject to hearing and settlement procedures.

This concludes my remarks. And I'll now turn the call back to Barry.

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

Thanks Jocelyn. The Fortis business keeps getting stronger. Our portfolio of well run, highly regulated utilities are focused on delivering energy to customers each and every day.

Given our diversification, coupled with the low-risk nature of our assets, we are able to focus on the local needs of customers, which in turn yield opportunities to grow the business for the foreseeable future.

As we look ahead to the remainder of 2019 and beyond, our growth profile is strong and supports our 6% dividend guidance. We look forward to rolling out our 2020 to 2024 plans to you at Investor Day in Michigan.

Lastly, Fortis is a leader in the ESG area. Sustainable practices have remained front and center as we serve our communities in North America. We are committed to doing even more in this area in the future.

I'll now turn the call back to Stephanie.

Stephanie A. Amaimo - Fortis Inc. - VP of IR

Thank you, Gary. This concludes the presentation. At this time, I'd like to open the call to address questions from the investment community.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Operator

Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, we will now conduct a question-and-answer period. (Operator Instructions). And our first question comes from Robert Kwan with RBC Capital Markets.



Robert Kwan - RBC Capital Markets

If I can just come back to the usage of the ATM and managing the up tick in the 2019 capital. I'm just wondering was that kind of you just proactively looking at the funding sources in your credit metrics or did you have anything specific from the rating agencies? Ultimately as well, just what's the expected use on the ATM for the remainder of the year?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

Robert, really it's consistent on what we've been saying, we've said if our capital goes beyond the levels that we've disclosed that we would start using the ATM. There's nothing from the agencies or anything like that. It's just you are seeing, we're seeing obviously, increased growth here and we're just tapping into that market. It is a very low-cost, efficient way of raising the equity component of that growth, so.

Robert Kwan - RBC Capital Markets

And I guess just with those, some of the lead times of converting some of that to cash, should we think about ATM usage being close to the full up tick in capital though?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

I would say, we're going to obviously report our ATM usage on a quarterly basis. And we're going to discuss our full funding plan in September, Robert, so I would just say stay tuned for that. It's a month away. We're putting the final touches on our 5-year capital plan and funding strategy. So we'll have a deep dive in September on that.

Robert Kwan - RBC Capital Markets

Sounds good. I guess just kind of turning to weather. Looking forward here, how is Belize actually shaping up for call it the second half? And then for Arizona, following kind of the weaker weather quarter, weather looked really good in July, so did you -- have you seen that up tick in usage for TEP?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

So David, I think we talked about this yesterday. I think we're about normal for July. David, is that correct in Arizona?

David Gerard Hutchens - UNS Energy Corporation - CEO, President and Director

Yes. We ended up being actually pretty hot for July. We ended up being the third hottest that we had on record for July compared to last year, where we're the 9th hottest, so there is a little bit of a positive delta book. We haven't even closed the books yet, Robert, but we expect it to be at least slightly positive.

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

And in Belize, Robert, sort of drought conditions continue, although this is typically around when the rainy season starts. And I'll remind everyone, in Belize we -- 1 tropical storm can fill the reservoir at times, yes. So it's -- it doesn't take much for things to change pretty quickly. But right now we're still experiencing very dry conditions there.



Robert Kwan - RBC Capital Markets

And just to finish in Arizona, have you spent anything yet to connect or support the Rosemont Mine? And if so are there any recovery provisions or which -- that just get rolled in if that continues to go sideways for them?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

David, do you want to take that one?

David Gerard Hutchens - UNS Energy Corporation - CEO, President and Director

Sure. The answer -- the quick answer to that Robert, is no, and we won't be spending any capital. Rosemont funds the entire transmission line and then turns it over to us. So we don't have any capital at risk or regulatory recovery risk associated with that project or its delay.

Operator

Next question comes from Benjamin Pham with BMO.

Benjamin Pham - BMO Capital Markets Equity Research - Analyst

I want to stay with UNS and some of the weather volatility you've seen this quarter, last year. And I'm just wondering as you think about the earnings of this utility going forward, is there any impetus to look at revenue decoupling it at UNS at all?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

Yes. In a perfect world yes, Ben, but I always thought it was going to be extremely hot in Arizona. So I guess, I'm betting on the heat, so yes, we had 1 quarter that was a little cool there. David, maybe you can comment on historically have the utilities in Arizona really focused at all on the sort of decoupling issue. I know obviously we had the historical test year in Arizona as well. The business has grown well over the years. So the utilities there haven't really -- I guess taken this on recently. So maybe you can share some history, David?

David Gerard Hutchens - UNS Energy Corporation - CEO, President and Director

Yes. We do Ben, we do have a partial decoupling mechanism that takes into account both energy efficiency and distributed generation reductions. We have a utility here, Southwest Gas does have a full decoupling mechanism that does include weather. Neither us or any other utility that I'm aware of in Arizona has a full decoupling mechanism, it has been something that has been discussed kind of in fits and starts over the past probably decade, since we implemented the energy efficiency rules. But it's -- we don't have that request in our current rate case, but longer-term that might be something that we look at, but nothing really on the radar screen at this point.

Benjamin Pham - BMO Capital Markets Equity Research - Analyst

And then maybe to switch to some of that commentary on FERC transmission ROEs. Well, you are looking through the commentaries that have been filed and it sounds like you are -- everything is in line with your expectations. And -- can you expand on that a little bit like as you go through the filing is this -- is it more -- you're not seeing anything in that, that is quite challenging in terms of folks really looking for lower ROEs. Are you just staying in line with that, you don't expect to see any material outcome from these reviews or analyze?



Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

Ben, the comments were more really reflective of our review of all the submissions and sort of coming up with a conclusion that there's been nothing really new in the submissions that from what what's been filed before at FERC. And you remember this is like in some cases 6, 7 years of process now that we've been going through. And FERC did open up the ROEs process for further submissions a few months back. And those submissions really didn't create any new sort of ideas in our view. And so that's -- that was the nature of that comment. Linda, maybe you can add some more flavor than that but that's sort of my understanding.

Linda H. Blair Apsey - ITC Holdings Corp. - President, CEO & Director

Yes, Barry. I mean, I would sort of categorize it as and when we say that the comments were as expected. I would say sort of industry, the transmission owners, the utilities, EEI, groups like WiRES obviously supported, obviously, the continuation of incentives. As well as it relates to the ROE, NOI very supportive of the new methodology that FERC has established in the New England proceeding.

And on the other side of the coin, state commissions, consumer advocates, customer groups were as expected, opposed to sort of additional incentives for investment in transmission.

And then I would say there was a third category of sort of the renewable energy industry that supported and advocated for incentives to incent transmission to interconnect renewables. So I think when we say, as expected. I think that's sort of the genesis of the comments. I think it's still too early to say or suggest what FERC might do with these NOI proceedings. I think obviously FERC is going to have to wade through all the comments and ultimately make some policy decisions in terms of what direction they want to go in terms of the NOI proceeding.

Operator

Your next question comes from Julien Dumoulin-Smith with Bank of America.

Julien Dumoulin-Smith - Bank of America

So just wanted to follow a little bit up on that last question on transmission if you can. Can you elaborate a little bit on some of the latest on the 2019 transmission expansion plan? There's been some headlines about the way that's shaping out in the MISO regions specifically and how that reconciles against your own current budget?

Just sort of curious. Then even to the extent as we said it was preempting September's draft release of the 20 MVP's? Any sense of where this is all heading versus where you projected the last go around. And I recall last year's update itself was pretty material already. So a little bit of a preemption of next month or September's update but I am just sort of curious.

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

So Julien, I'm not going to steal all my good material for September. So, but I would tell you that we still see good growth at ITC and we're very, very, very strong on the business there.

So Linda, maybe you can offer some specific comments regarding the MISO stuff for Julien.

Linda H. Blair Apsey - ITC Holdings Corp. - President, CEO & Director

Yes Julien, I would just say look, if history is any indication of the future, I mean, I would not focus on in terms of what's submitted in terms of the specific projects versus what MISO recommends. History always demonstrates that what comes out of the MISO process is less than we submit.



And I would just say, our forecasts, our capital forecasts in our 5-year plan is solid, and we do not anticipate any outcome of the MISO preliminary recommendations or the ultimately the MTEP decision having any effect or impact on our capital forecasts.

Julien Dumoulin-Smith - Bank of America

And then Hickory, just while we are on the subject of transmission, any updates and confidence to be able to get the thing done?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

Linda, keep going.

Linda H. Blair Apsey - ITC Holdings Corp. - President, CEO & Director

Yes. Sorry, your question was on the Hickory Cardinal Creek MVP 5 line?

Julien Dumoulin-Smith - Bank of America

Yes.

Linda H. Blair Apsey - ITC Holdings Corp. - President, CEO & Director

Yes, that is obviously proceeding. We've gone through the hearing process in Wisconsin. And we now await a decision from the Wisconsin Commission. I would say, we feel very confident on the outcome of that decision, primarily based on obviously the need for the project.

Obviously, it's been a pretty, I would say, contentious case; just in terms of sort of the environmental interests that have come out and opposed the project. But we remain confident that we will get approval for the project, given the need for the project.

Julien Dumoulin-Smith - Bank of America

And last quick one. Maybe the high level --- with respect to Arizona and everything going on in that state with some of your peers. Confident still in the rate case process and the integrity of the process altogether. I'm just -- a little bit curious if you have anything to add without getting too specific? Just if there's anything you want to throw out there?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

Well Julien, from my perspective, I'm going let David weigh in here. But just from my perspective, we still have positive relations with the Commission. And I'm still very positive overall on Arizona. Our business there has done extremely well since we purchased it and the economy is strong.

Yes, there is some noise with the regulator and some of the issues. I would think more specifically with APS, but obviously we get dragged into that from time-to-time. So David, maybe you can provide some more color, since you are on the ground there?

David Gerard Hutchens - UNS Energy Corporation - CEO, President and Director

Yes, I don't think that we see anything that's going to necessarily affect or impact our pending rate case. We do have a lot of policy topics under discussion at the Commission.



And I think we'll do, as our state generally does, we'll do a very orderly and thoughtful process in evaluating energy policy and everything else that's on the dockets here. So, we have a lot under discussion.

And again, if we do it in that orderly fashion, I think we can meet both the requirements and desires of our customers and our regulators. So, nothing that we're super worried about at this point.

Operator

Your next question comes from Mark Jarvi with CIBC Capital Markets.

Mark Thomas Jarvi - CIBC Capital Markets, Research Division - Director of Institutional Equity Research

Just wanted to go back to UNS and just think about about some of the timelines here for capital deployment in the back half for maybe into 2020. With Oso Grande and the bill transfer agreement; can you just remind us how you actually see capital deployed at TEP as that has progressed and has actually completed?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

David, you want to take that?

David Gerard Hutchens - UNS Energy Corporation - CEO, President and Director

Yes, I don't have the schedule right in front of me. But that USD 370 million, I think it's about 2/3 of it that goes in this year in essence in writing checks just based on the funding agreement that we have with the constructor there. So it is fairly heavy loaded here towards the tail end of this year. And then the rest of that capital will be dribbling in over the entire 2020 time period, up until that year-end.

So that's why we had that little bit of a difference in uptick in the 2019 capital budget when we upsized that project from 150 to that roughly 250 megawatt number. We also finalized the payments and that schedule, and that's what kind of pulled a little extra into 2019.

Mark Thomas Jarvi - CIBC Capital Markets, Research Division - Director of Institutional Equity Research

And then on some of the other bigger ticket items like Southline and Gila Unit 2. Just wondering confidence if that happens in 2019 or chances that kind of gets pushed out a bit?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

We're still very confident with Gila. Where we -- that is just, in essence a triggering of an option to buy that plant and writing the check to Salt River Project for it. So that capital, I think is pretty well on schedule.

As far as the Southline project, we don't have much in this, in the 2019 year. But we do still expect to spend that here and then the vast majority of that is over the next couple of years.



Mark Thomas Jarvi - CIBC Capital Markets, Research Division - Director of Institutional Equity Research

And then maybe just turning around the corner to the Investor Day in a month time, but so the incremental \$100 million spend at some of the utilities, maybe just kind of comment on where you find those opportunities and whether or not it's just this year or you see that going forward in future years?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

I think you're going to have to stay tuned, Mark, for the Investor Day, obviously. There's -- that \$100 million is spread a bunch -- over a bunch of businesses. Some of it's renewables, those kind of things. But I think that we'll have much more to say about that in September.

Mark Thomas Jarvi - CIBC Capital Markets, Research Division - Director of Institutional Equity Research

And then maybe just last question here on ITC and some of these small tuck-ins and add-on assets. Like, how much more that GIC in the footprint and the pace of those types of small additions?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

All of our businesses are looking for this kind of stuff. Things that -- that's in their footprint that maybe owned by industrial firms or whatever that we can actually get in and run a little better, because it's our business. So it is always a little bit of that. But I don't see it as being material in any way to the -- to long-term growth. But we'll get one of them every now and then. I sort of put, for example, like the REA's in Alberta in the same category. It may be small muni's in Ontario.

These are things that occasionally we'll be able to successfully get one of them over the line. But they're really now in the \$30 million, \$40 million, \$50 million range. And they're good stuff, but again, not material.

Operator

Your next question comes from Rob Hope with Scotia Capital.

Robert Hope - Scotiabank Global Banking and Markets, Research Division - Analyst

First question back on Arizona. Just wondering if you have any comments on the commission's hearing about looking at retail competition once again in the state?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

My only comment is I think it's the third time this has been looked at in Arizona. And obviously we're going to work with the commission if there is a process regarding that, and we are involved in those discussions.

So I will say that generally Fortis has always been focused on poles and wires. So that's a core business and we're comfortable there. And clearly, Arizona is the place that we have generation and it's in rates right now. I always look at competition, as long as you're treated fairly, when it's deregulated in terms of your investments in that generation, then you should be okay. But, David, you want to offer some more comments?



David Gerard Hutchens - UNS Energy Corporation - CEO, President and Director

Yes, I'd just echo what you just said, Barry. Is if we go through a nice detailed thoughtful review and process on this, I think, you're going to come out with 1 of 2 options. One is, I think that folks will see that there is a lot of unintended consequences in a competitive market, and that there is really no problem here that we're trying to fix. And I think at the end of the day with that sort of review, you'd probably say it's not worth it.

But if at the end, you do and the commission decides that we need to go to a competitive generation market; remember, this is generation-only. It just depends on how you set the rules and how you do that. It's very difficult, extremely complicated to get all of that stuff right. And if -- but if you do get all of that right, it can be beneficial.

So at the end of the day, it's not something that we're extremely worried about. But we do want to make sure that this takes into account the opinions of all the stakeholders. And there is quite a few folks lining up against competition, as they have in other states recently. But it takes away some of the policy decision-making capability of our commission.

If you go to competitive wholesale market, we think it loses a lot of its ability to drive energy policy in a competitive market, so lot of discussions still to be had around this, lot of workshops, and still hopefully a lot of input from all the stakeholders.

Robert Hope - Scotiabank Global Banking and Markets, Research Division - Analyst

All right. And then switching over to the west side of the continent. I just want to -- saw that you secured some additional contracts on the LNG, but on a longer term basis, how are you thinking about increased LNG volumes out of BC versus the need to kind of reinforce and increase the reliability of the system?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

We are -- I continue to become more and more excited about our prospects for our gas business in British Columbia. I keep reminding folks that we have a large gas LDC there serving over 1 million customers and we currently own the lion's share of gas infrastructure in the province. So, and we are right now in the midst of conversations regarding further investment in that gas infrastructure related to resiliency of the pipeline network to get more redundancy into the Lower Mainland, whether it be also tank storage to be able to ride through any problems on the system, and LNG export from our Tilbury site.

So none of this is really in our plans at this point in time. But, I'm really optimistic that Fortis will be able to find some ways to deploy capital to make the business better in British Columbia. And we're really excited about that. And hopefully we can talk a little more about that over the next little while.

Operator

Your next question comes from Patrick Kenny with National Bank Financial.

Patrick Kenny - National Bank Financial, Inc., Research Division - Research Analyst

Obviously, TEP is well on pace to reach that 30% renewable target on the generation front. Just wondering if you can comment longer term around potential opportunities to accelerate coal to gas or ramp up new renewables. And again what this might mean for potential rate base upside say over the next 5 years plus?



Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

Patrick thanks for the question on this because I am so proud of my team in Arizona. David really agreeing to work with the University of Arizona and community stakeholders to really work together to develop the right plan for that community. And I think that's -- that hasn't been done in all cases in different regions around North America. And I'm proud of the team for taking that on. So I do believe that, that this will allow more investments to occur in that region in renewables over time. And I'm going to let David talk a little bit about those prospects, so.

David Gerard Hutchens - UNS Energy Corporation - CEO, President and Director

Yes, Patrick. We're going through that integrated resource planning process right now. And obviously when people see that we're hitting our 2030 goal really in just a couple of years we're going to we're going to likely see the desire and our own desire to increase that a little bit more. And now we're going to shift to hopefully greenhouse gas reduction targets because I think that's really what we should be focusing on from a integrated resource planning and from a climate perspective. But during that process we'll, I'm sure we'll have stakeholders, kind of all over the map, on how far and how fast we want to go related to renewables. It will provide, I think, significant upside in our business to invest in some of these renewables. And of course, the renewable supporting technology, I mean, I think going forward when we're already at 30% any time you say additional renewables you have to add storage in that next breath.

And so we're going to be looking at that stuff really hard over this next year and developing a plan for what that looks like for the next 15 years is what our IRP is. But we're going to be looking out even further than that.

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

And Patrick, I should mention that one thing we haven't talked about really is renewable natural gas that much there's real -- we continue to increase the amount of renewable natural gas that we have in British Columbia. And I see that as again another area that potentially will grow our business in over the next decade. And so we're pretty excited about the sort of the -- I'll call it the ESG component of that gas business in British Columbia as well.

Patrick Kenny - National Bank Financial, Inc., Research Division - Research Analyst

It's interesting as well. I was also just follow up and ask if there was any update on Big Chino at this point?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

So Big Chino, big project, well the right kind of project for the region in Arizona. ITC, obviously was -- be spearheading this, a very large pump storage facility. Would take about 8 to 10 years probably to do it. Maybe in the same kind of range of probably \$6 billion to \$8 billion. So we really took a step back on this project in the last few months here and really are now looking at how do we move forward. So we've slowed down the spending a little bit and -- because it's really -- it really was too large of a project for the company. So we're looking at engaging with some other partners to see if we can move it forward from here. So it's, I would say, slowed a little bit here at this point.

Operator

(Operator Instructions). We have a question from Chris Turnure with J.P. Morgan.

Christopher James Turnure - JP Morgan Chase & Co, Research Division - Analyst

I just wanted to maybe get some help understanding the decision to raise the CapEx guidance today. Obviously, you have discrete projects, but I think maybe you could have pushed back some CapEx in other areas or waited till the Analyst Day. So maybe some help around that decision on



the messaging there. And I wanted to just confirm that it's still your message that the base CapEx plan x this does not require external equity just the drip?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

So Chris, I guess it's just August 1 and we were seeing significant increase in '19. So we just felt that for the year we couldn't sort of wait till September. Given that we were issuing our second quarter results here to be transparent. But for the 5-year plan we sort of felt that we could wait. It's not yet been finalized that we could wait till September 10. That was sort of the logic we I suppose we could easily just added \$600 million to the 5 year and been done with it. But we just I guess, we wanted to update the full 5 years at once rather than in dripping it in over time kind of thing.

So in terms of the equity and all we do, we -- when you look at how we identified the funding last year for the \$17.3 billion, we talked about the equity we get from our drip plan, dividend reinvestment. We talked about asset sales. The Waneta sale, so we've been executing on that. So nothing's really changed on that. We did as well say if CapEx was going up, we would start using the ATM, which is what's happened now in this quarter. So I think everything we've done has been consistent with what we've been talking about for the last year.

Christopher James Turnure - JP Morgan Chase & Co, Research Division - Analyst

And then my second question is on EPS growth. I think in 2018 your growth was negative 1%, it's down 2% year-to-date, but the consensus still has you guys growing 4% year-over-year. Any color on kind of how to think of the back half or any drivers that might help growth at that time?

Barry V. Perry - Fortis Inc. - President, CEO & Director

We don't comment on EPS guidance, Chris. Other than I'll just say we're feeling really good about the business. We're investing a lot of capital in our regulated businesses, they are high quality businesses and that capital will increase the earnings of those businesses over time and will be reflected in Fortis' growth over the period. And when you think about it we're basically a big wires business in North America that is growing its rate base in the 7% range right now. So that's pretty strong growth and that's going to end up being reflected in EPS growth over time.

Operator

(Operator Instructions). As there are no further questions at this time, I will turn the call over to Ms. Amaimo for any closing remarks.

Stephanie A. Amaimo - Fortis Inc. - VP of IR

Thank you, Sharon. We have nothing further at this time. Thank you everyone for participating in our second quarter 2019 results call. Please contact investor relations should you need anything further. Thank you for your time and have a great day.

Operator

Thank you for participating, ladies and gentlemen. This concludes today's conference. You may disconnect.



DISCLAIMER

Thomson Reuters reserves the right to make changes to documents, content, or other information on this web site without obligation to notify any person of such changes.

In the conference calls upon which Event Transcripts are based, companies may make projections or other forward-looking statements regarding a variety of items. Such forward-looking statements are based upon current expectations and involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those stated in any forward-looking statement based on a number of important factors and risks, which are more specifically identified in the companies' most recent SEC filings. Although the companies may indicate and believe that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of the assumptions could prove inaccurate or incorrect and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the results contemplated in the forward-looking statements will be realized.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN EVENT TRANSCRIPTS IS A TEXTUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE APPLICABLE COMPANY'S CONFERENCE CALL AND WHILE EFFORTS ARE MADE TO PROVIDE AN ACCURATE TRANSCRIPTION, THERE MAY BE MATERIAL ERRORS, OMISSIONS, OR INACCURACIES IN THE REPORTING OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE CONFERENCE CALLS. IN NO WAY DOES THOMSON REUTERS OR THE APPLICABLE COMPANY ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY INVESTMENT OR OTHER DECISIONS MADE BASED UPON THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON THIS WEB SITE OR IN ANY EVENT TRANSCRIPT. USERS ARE ADVISED TO REVIEW THE APPLICABLE COMPANY'S CONFERENCE CALL ITSELF AND THE APPLICABLE COMPANY'S SEC FILINGS BEFORE MAKING ANY INVESTMENT OR OTHER DECISIONS.

©2019, Thomson Reuters. All Rights Reserved.

